Rotary sidescan images of nearshore bedform evolution during a storm
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Abstract

High resolution acoustic images are presented of bedform development on the crest of a nearshore bar during a storm. The images were obtained using a 2.25 MHz rotating sidescan sonar. The sonar system provides a continuous record of the evolution of the bedform field through time, within a 10-m diameter field of view. The images document the occurrence of ripples, cross ripples, and megaripples. During the waning stages of the storm, transitions among ripple types occurred on time scales of 1–3 h, beginning with flat bed and culminating in highly 3-D short-crested ripples.

1. Introduction

The development and movement of bedforms is an important component of both the nearshore sand transport problem, and the problem of interpreting the sedimentary record in terms of coastal sediment transport processes. However, few bedform measurements have been made on submerged bars during conditions of active transport (Allen, 1982b, p. 450). Direct observations by divers are difficult to make during storm events (Miller and Komar, 1980). Observation of the bottom using underwater stereo cameras or video cameras has met with limited success because suspended matter frequently obscures the view of the bottom (see, e.g., Horikawa, 1988).

Acoustic methods of bedform measurement should in principle be less sensitive to the presence of suspended sediment, and have shown promise. Dingler and Inman (1976) used a track-mounted vertical sounder to obtain 1-D profiles of bed elevation along the 2-m length of the track. Dingler and Clifton (1984) and Greenwood et al. (1993) describe more recent measurements made with this type of system. Bedforms have also been monitored with a horizontally-distributed 2-D array of vertical sounders (Hay and Bowen, 1993). Both types of measurement, linear track and altimeter array, are limited by the 3-D nature of many bedforms, and the spatially inhomogeneous distribution of larger bedform types, megaripples in particular (Clifton, 1976; Allen, 1982a,b). Thus it was felt that a system capable of spatial coverage in more than one dimension extending over distances beyond 1–3 m, and with O (1 cm) spatial resolution, would contribute valuable information which has been difficult to obtain by other means. The system chosen was a rotating fan-beam sonar, with a range of about 5 m. Results from the first field deployment of this system are described below.

2. Experimental setup

The measurements reported here were made on the southeastern shore of Lake Huron at Burley Beach, Ontario in October, 1992. The sidescan sonar used in the experiment is a customized
version of Simrad Mesotech's Model 971. It consists of an underwater transceiver with a fan-beam transducer, driven about a vertical axis by a stepper motor and connected to control and data acquisition electronics onshore via a multielement coaxial cable. The operating characteristics of the sonar are given in Table 1. The sidescan has been incorporated into an upgraded version of RASTRAN System 1 (Hay et al., 1988; Hay and Sheng, 1992). The rotary head was mounted on the shoreward end of the RASTRAN frame at a 50 cm nominal height above bottom, with the transducer beam tilted at 30° below the horizontal (Fig. 1). The frame was located near the crest of a shore-parallel bar in about 1.5 m water depth, approximately 110 m from the shoreline. The bottom sediments at the measurement location consisted of moderately well sorted sand of 200 μm median diameter.

Other sensors were mounted on the frame, including an array of vertical acoustic sounders and Marsh-Mc Birney electromagnetic current meters. The frame legs and some of the sensors partially obstructed the beam of the rotary sonar, casting shadows in the images. These shadows have been sketched in Fig. 2 and are identified later.

The received signals were first envelope-detected and then acquired digitally at a sampling rate of 200 kHz using RASTRAN's data acquisition system. The time for a full 360° scan was 47 s. Each stored image contains 1.1 MBytes of data. Up to ten consecutive digital images were acquired during a 10-min time window at hourly intervals. The backscatter data were also displayed on a video monitor, and recorded continuously on videotape. Three images, representing a small fraction of the total data set, have been selected for presentation here.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sonar characteristics</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>2.25 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical beamwidth</td>
<td>30°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal beamwidth</td>
<td>0.8°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angular step interval</td>
<td>0.45°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse length</td>
<td>10 μs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range resolution</td>
<td>≈ 1 cm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Results

Data were collected over the 42-h duration of the storm, which started abruptly at about 0600 h.

![Fig. 1. Side view schematic of a portion of the RASTRAN frame, showing the sidescan sonar head and housing, the beam pattern of the head (at 60 cm range). The sonar head is attached to a horizontal frame, which is supported by vertical posts at the corners. One vertical post is shown on the left. The cantilever support between the frame and the post is not shown (see Fig. 2).](image1)

![Fig. 2. Plan view of the RASTRAN frame. The frame is 2×2 m, and constructed from 6.5-cm aluminum angle. The 5-cm diameter corner posts (legs) are 2.2 m apart. Dashed rings denote distance from the rotating sonar head in 1-m range increments. Shadows cast by various parts of the frame and sensors (see text) are indicated.](image2)
on October 24, peaked at about 1400 h, and gradually abated over the next 34 h. During the initial period of the storm, pronounced changes in local mean bed elevation occurred as a result of bar development and migration. In this paper, results are presented from the period following peak storm intensity, when the local bed elevation was comparatively stable, on October 25. The period of the incident surface gravity waves during this time was 4 to 5 s. Fluid velocities from the flowmeter 70 cm above the bed (Fig. 2) are given in Table 2. Mean cross-shore currents were small, 1–2 cm/s, and were directed offshore. The longshore current $\vec{V}$ was directed westward (to the left in the images), and decreased in magnitude from 48 to 10 cm/s. Significant wave orbital velocities $u_{rms} = \sqrt{u_{rms}^2 + v_{rms}^2}$, where $u_{rms}$ and $v_{rms}$ are the root-mean-square velocities: that is, the square root of the variance of the velocity records (see Guza and Thornton, 1980) dropped from 98 to 47 cm/s. The decay in the forcing is therefore evident in both the longshore current and in the wave velocities.

A measure of the bottom skin friction is given by the grain roughness Shields parameter $\theta_{2.5}$:

$$\theta_{2.5} = \frac{f_{2.5} d_{so}}{2(s-1) g d_{so}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $d_{so}$ is the median grain diameter, $f_{2.5}$ is the wave friction factor based on 2.5 $d_{so}$, $s$ is the grain specific gravity, and $g$ is the acceleration due to gravity (see Nielsen, 1981, 1992, p. 105). The seabed images to be presented were acquired at the (last three) times listed in Table 2, for which the values of $\theta_{2.5}$ ranged from 0.89 to 0.34. These values fall in the upper end of the intermediate strength flow regime $0.05 \leq \theta_{2.5} \leq 1.0$ defined by Nielsen (1992, p. 105), in which vortex ripples are expected.

The first of the selected rotary sidescan images, acquired at 1638 h, is shown in Fig. 3a. The image is displayed in grey scale, darker areas representing higher acoustic backscatter intensity. The shadows from the frame supports and some of the sensors are readily identified as light areas which appear in the same location in each image, as sketched in Fig. 2. Each of the four 5-cm diameter support legs casts a shadow extending radially outward from the rotary sonar. The large shadow (A) on the left side of the images is cast by another sonar housing. The shadow at the top (offshore side) is from a loop of cable attached to the frame. The two shadows on the middle right are from guy wires running from the frame corners to an anchor post imbedded in the bottom. The shadows provide useful reference points for image interpretation. The images have been slant-range corrected assuming a horizontal bed. The displayed image represents an area of the bottom 10 m across diagonally. The circles represent radial distances along the bottom at 2-m intervals, centred at the point on the bottom directly beneath the sonar head. The high intensity backscatter within 1-m of the centre, and the weaker signal in the 1 to 1.5 m distance interval, are due to the lobes of the transducer beam pattern (Fig. 1).

The image in Fig. 3a shows long-crested shore-
parallel ripples, with occasional bifurcations. The average wavelength (λ) of these ripples is 9–10 cm. The significant wave orbital diameter (2A) was 100–120 cm for this run (Table 2). The ripple wavelength therefore falls within the range of values given by Miller and Komar (1980) for these orbital diameters (actually near the short wavelength end of this range, near Clifton’s (1976) anorbital ripple grouping). Several larger scale bedforms are present. One of these is a crescent-shaped depression (area of low signal intensity) located roughly 1-m shoreward of the sonar head. An expanded view of this crescent-shaped feature is presented in Fig. 3b. The horns of the crescent point toward shore. The horn-to-horn span is about 50 cm. During the ensuing 2 h, this feature migrated a distance of about 1 m shoreward and slightly to the south (leftward in the image), gradually disappearing on the way. The feature has the characteristic dimensions and shape of a lunate megaripple (Clifton et al., 1971).

The second type of larger scale feature is in the lower left quadrant of the first image, to the left of the megaripple. These features have wavelengths of 30–40 cm, with crests aligned roughly 20° off shorenormal, and two hours later had grown to fill the entire field of view (Fig. 4a). Shorter wavelength ripples are still present among the larger scale features, but are inclined with respect to the crests of the longer wavelength bedforms and, except in isolated patches, are no longer shore-parallel. These are cross ripples (Clifton, 1976), with crests of both the longer and shorter ripple sets oriented obliquely to the direction of the incident waves. A more detailed view of the crossripples is presented in Fig. 4b. This figure shows a
frequent half-wavelength offset of the short ripple component on either side of a long ripple crest.

As shown by the expanded view in Fig. 4b, the megaripple is still present, but is reduced in size (compare Figs. 4b and 3b), and is located roughly 70 cm shoreward of its earlier position. Another lunate feature, possibly a second megaripple, is present directly shoreward on the 2-m circle. Larger lunate features, also resembling megaripples, are located in the upper left quadrant of the image in Fig. 4a, about 3-m from the centre.

The image in Fig. 4a also shows a 20-cm diameter scour pit about the post in the upper right corner of the frame. Scour pits of this size occurred frequently at the support posts during the course of the experiment.

The cross ripples co-existed with patches of 2-D ripples for a period of about two hours. After 3 hours, however, they were replaced by a third bedform type: short-crested, highly 3-D ripples uniformly covering the bed (Fig. 5). Remnants of the long wavelength component of the cross ripples can be seen in the upper right quadrant. These irregular ripple marks remained for the duration of our observations on the night of October 25, a period of about 11 h. They are similar in appearance to the interference ripple marks discussed by Allen (1982a, pp. 435 and 452), which are ascribed to the combined effects either of two intersecting surface wave trains, or of waves and a mean current. Certainly, a mean longshore current was present (Table 2).

4. Discussion

Clifton (1976) presents a conceptual model for the transition among bedform types as a function
of distance offshore. The progression from deep water shoreward is: first, long-crested shore parallel ripples with occasional bifurcations, then irregular short-crested ripples, then cross ripples, then lunate megaripples with crescent horns shoreward, and finally flat bed. This succession of bedform types is associated by Clifton with the progressive increase both in the asymmetry of the oscillatory motion and in the bottom shear stress as the waves shoal toward the beach. Clifton presents his model for a non-barred beach, but it is transferrable to a barred beach, with the bar crest taking the place of the shoreline (Hunter et al., 1979; Nielsen, 1992, p. 130).

We also observe a transition among many of the bedform types discussed by Clifton, but as a function of time at a single offshore location, rather than as a function of distance at a given time. This progression was observed during decay in the wave velocities, and therefore in the bed shear stress, indicating a possible parallel with Clifton's model. The order of progression in the present observations is:

1. "Flat" bed (no vortex ripples).
2. Long-crested shore-parallel ripples, with occasional megaripples.
3. Oblique cross ripples, combined with patchy shore-parallel ripples and occasional megaripples.
4. Irregular (3-D, short-crested) ripples.

The evidence for Step 1, the flat bed state, is as follows. Conditions were much more energetic during the period preceding the first image shown here. This is demonstrated in Table 2, which shows on the basis of the flowmeter measurements that $\theta_{2,5}$ was well above unity 6–8 h prior to the image in Fig. 3. Flat bed (meaning here the absence of vortex ripples) would therefore be expected (e.g. Nielsen, 1992, p. 131). Furthermore, the longshore current approached 50 cm/s (Table 2), greatly increasing the bed shear stress compared to the effects of waves alone at these times (e.g. Grant and Madsen, 1986). The bed shear stress should
therefore have been more than sufficient to prevent
the formation of vortex ripples. Also, the sidescan
images of the bed during this period are essentially
featureless, possibly indicating flat bed, except for
the occasional appearance of ripple-like features,
which then disappear in subsequent images. 1 min
apart. The disappearance of ripples on short time
scales has been reported before. Dingler and Inman
(1976) observed that ripples could be wiped out
during the passage of wave groups. However, we
must point out with respect to our own measure-
ments that, at 2.25 MHz, backscatter from sus-
pended sediment affects the images intermittently,
particularly in high energy conditions. (A lower
frequency sonar, less sensitive to sand in sus-
pension, may prove useful for bedform monitoring in
very energetic conditions.)

Step 2 is the shore-parallel rippled state (Fig. 3).
The ratio of ripple wavelength to median grain
diameter, \( \lambda / D \), is about 500 for these ripples. The
ratio of wave orbital diameter to grain size, \( 2A / D \),
is about 5000. These ripples therefore lie in the
transition region between suborbital and anorbi-
tal ripples in Clifton's classification scheme (Clifton,
1976; Clifton and Dingler, 1984, fig. 5). Note that
Fig. 3 indicates that ripples and megaripples
co-exist. This is consistent with other work. Clifton
et al. (1971) found that ripples were superimposed
on megaripples, and recent laboratory experiments
by Southard et al. (1990) have shown that small
6–8 cm wavelength ripples are superimposed on
megaripples formed in 110 μm median diameter
sand under high velocity (30–80 cm/s amplitude)
oscillatory flows. The small superimposed ripples
become increasingly 2-D with increasing velocity
amplitude. Southard et al. distinguish between
these ripples and vortex ripples, calling them
reversing current ripples instead. The ripple wave-
length to wave orbital excursion amplitude ratio
\( \lambda / A \) is 0.15–0.18 for the ripples in Fig. 3. Nielsen
(1981) gives an empirical formula for \( \lambda / A \) in
irregular waves:

\[ \frac{\lambda}{A} = \exp \left[ \frac{693 - 0.37 \ln^5 \psi}{1000 + 0.75 \ln^7 \psi} \right] \]

where \( \psi \) is the mobility parameter, given by
\( 2 \theta_{2.5} / f_{2.5} \). The observed values are a factor of 2 or
so greater than the value predicted by Nielsen's
formula (see Table 2).

A similar sequence of events occurred during the
2-h period of time immediately following the
abrupt onset of the storm, but in reverse sequence.
In this case, the initial state of the bed corre-
sponded to long-crested, bifurcating ripples with
wavelengths of 8 cm. These appear to have been
orbital ripples, produced by low amplitude waves
prior to the onset of the storm. These ripples were
rapidly transformed into irregular ripple waves
similar to Fig. 5, followed in turn by cross-ripples
similar to those in Fig. 4. The bed then appeared
to go planar.

A difference between the Clifton progression
and our observations is that 2-D long-crested
ripples, corresponding to Clifton's farthest offshore
(and low bottom stress) bedform, did not reform
during the final period of decay of the storm. Our
observations indicate that whatever the mechanism
responsible for the formation of the irregular ripple
pattern, this was the final state of the seabed before
the storm. The explanation for this observation
may be related to the longshore current, which
persisted as the waves decayed (Table 2). The
longshore current is not included in Clifton's
model, which assumes shorenoraml wave inci-
dence. It may also be an effect peculiar to lakes,
or to this particular storm event. Presumably,
long-crested ripples will form if swell of sufficient
amplitude and duration follows the storm. This
need not occur in bodies of restricted fetch, like
the Great Lakes. Perhaps the wave/current forcing
dropped below the threshold of grain movement
too rapidly for long-crested ripples to have time
to form. This line of thinking raises the more
general question of the time lag between a change
in the forcing and the resulting adjustment of the
bedform field, and how this may depend upon the
previous state of the bed.

The Clifton progression is based on SCUBA obser-
vations in relatively calm conditions: under long-
period swell on the Oregon coast, the "protected" 
shoreline of Willapa Bay, Washington, and the
"relatively calm" southeastern coast of Spain. In
contrast, the present measurements were made
during a storm, in conditions in which SCUBA
observations would have been impossible. Perhaps
it is not surprising that in such conditions the bedform field should exhibit more complex behavior.

Finally, we consider possible effects of the instrument frame on the bedform fields in these images. One such effect, the scour pits around the frame posts, has been mentioned. These pits are roughly 4 post diameters across, similar to the 3 post-diameter pit shown by Allen (1982a, p. 204). The images in Figs. 4 and 5 do not show any other pronounced effects of the frame: the irregular ripples in Fig. 5 are uniformly distributed throughout the field of view; the cross ripples in Fig. 4 are similar in appearance everywhere except on the downstream (left) side, where they are not as clear. This is partly the result of the shadows on this side, and of the megaripples in the upper left corner. There are features in Fig. 3a, however, which look suspiciously like wake effects associated with the longshore current: narrow ripple trains appear on the downstream (left) side of some of the posts, particularly the upper right and lower left. It is known that ripples are generated by bed topography perturbations which can be induced in a variety of ways, including obstacles in the sand (Allen, 1982a, p. 442), and the narrow ripple trains downstream of the posts (in the longshore current sense) may well be artifacts of this kind.

5. Conclusions

The primary intent of this short communication is to demonstrate the usefulness of high resolution rotary sidescan sonars for making measurements of bedforms in nearshore environments during storm events. The technique has a number of advantages. In particular, it is much less sensitive than are optical seabed imaging methods to suspended matter in the water. (It is worth noting perhaps that visual or optical measurements of the seabed were completely impractical at Burley Beach, because of the presence of fine material in suspension, delivered by nearby rivers. Visibility was limited to 10 cm or less.) Furthermore, the seabed can be monitored over an area exceeding 10 m². Areal coverage of this order seems to be necessary to allow adequate knowledge of the distribution of different bedform types, particularly the larger scale forms (i.e. megaripples). The fact that the areal coverage extends well beyond the instrument frame is also useful, as the effects of the instrument support structure on the seabed response can then be assessed. Such knowledge is likely to prove important for suspended sediment measurements (Hay and Bowen, 1994). Finally, the technique provides images of the bedform pattern in plan view, and therefore direct information on bedform orientation, on the three-dimensionality of the bedform field, and on bedform migration.

The limitations of the approach remain to be explored. The 5-m maximum range of the present measurements could be improved. Sources of noise in the images, such as scattering from sediments in suspension or from bubbles due to breaking waves, need to be investigated. It should be possible to minimize these effects by the appropriate choice of frequency, and improved signal processing techniques. Information on bedform amplitudes, while not as readily accessible as bedform pattern, is contained in the images (as shadow length, for example), and could be exploited.

The results presented here indicate that the local evolution of the bed involves transformations among 2- and 3-D bedform fields. The transformations occur on time scales of a few hours or less. The different bedform fields can be complex, involving mixtures of bedform types with different characteristic length scales. This has implications for sediment transport modelling. It would appear to be incorrect, for example, to assume a single bedform type during the course of a storm. It also appears that it can be incorrect to characterize the bedform field, at any given time, by ripples with a single characteristic spacing. Yet existing sediment transport models often tend to make these assumptions (i.e. Grant and Madsen, 1986; Fredsoe and Deigaard, 1992; Nielsen, 1992). As Grant and Madsen (1986, p. 288) state, the bed roughness is not known for multiple sets of ripples at oblique angles to the flow. The time scales of the observed changes also raise questions about model assumptions. We need to know more about the lag times between the development of a particular seabed state and changes in the hydrodynamic forcing,
and if these lag times depend upon the previous state of the bed. Finally, it is interesting that the comparison between our observations of bedform transformations with time and Clifton's cross-shore progression yields both differences and similarities. This indicates that more general relationships between nearshore bedform properties and hydrodynamic forcing parameters, such as the grain roughness Shields parameter, will need to incorporate effects beyond those of the incident waves alone. In particular, the longshore current is bound to be important.
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